	Page 666
1	2010?
2	A No.
3	MR. MOSS: I'd like to do that
4	comparison, Your Honor, if I may.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Please.
6	MR. MOSS: Do you mind if I use
7	your board?
8	MS. POGORILER: Are specific names
9	going to be used?
10	MR. MOSS: No.
11	(Off the record comments.)
12	MR. MOSS: Mr. Herman, if you
13	could take a look at the Golf Sir, you are
14	familiar with Venn diagrams. Is that right?
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: You ought to spell
16	that for the record.
17	MR. MOSS: It's V-E-N-N, Your
18	Honor.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: V-E-N-A?
20	MR. MOSS: V-E-N-N.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: V-E-N-N.
22	MR. MOSS: I believe it's named

1 after Mr. Venn.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 MR. CARROLL: Your Honor, we're going to need an addendum to the glossary.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I did want to raise that with you.

(Laughter.)

BY MR. MOSS:

Q Mr. Herman, if you can please take a look at Exhibit B which is the Golf top 30 advertisers and also the Tennis Channel top 30 advertisers. I'll draw them right here. Now, sir, you can use Exhibit C also if it's helpful for you. But how many of Golf Channel's top 30 advertisers in 2010 were also Tennis Channel's top 30 advertisers in 2010?

A Are you asking me to go through the chart and calculate?

Q Well, sir, you're familiar with Tennis Channel's top 30 advertisers. Right?

A Yes.

Q The chart, the green highlighting on the chart, sir, are the only overlaps.

	Page 669
1	rather confirm it than have to sit up here and
2	do math.
3	BY MR. MOSS:
4	Q Sure. I think it's . And
5	I'll help you out, sir.
6	A Okay.
7	Q I don't mean for it to be a test.
8	I think it's on the Golf chart numbers 12,
9	advertisers 12, 13 and 15. Do those look like
10	Tennis Channel advertisers to you?
11	A Yes, they are.
12	Q And those are all large companies.
13	Correct, sir?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And they advertise across almost
16	every network on Comcast Exhibit 801. Right,
17	sir?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Herman.
20	We're done with that exhibit.
21	A Okay.
22	Q Now, Mr. Herman, you've pitched to

Page 670 advertisers on the theory that advertising on 1 both Tennis Channel and Golf Channel doesn't 2 have to be a substitute. It can be 3 4 complimentary. Correct, sir? 5 Α Yes. MR. MOSS: Your Honor. 6 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. MR. MOSS: I think given the time 8 9 we don't have any more questions for Mr. 10 Herman. 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. 12 Any redirect? 13 MS. POGORILER: Yes, Your Honor. 14 MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. MR. OSHINSKY: We have just a 16 17 couple questions. BY MR. OSHINSKY: 18 19 I'd like to go back to the Q 20 original chart that you used in 189. 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: It's Mr. Oshinsky. 22 Is that correct?

1 MR. OSHINSKY: Yes.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.

BY MR. OSHINSKY:

Q You tell us you have various

figures segmented out here. On 188, you have

the dark green percent and the lighter

green percent representing certain numbers

of advertisers. Could you tell us what those

segments mean again?

A Yes. The percentages actually represent the revenue generated by those advertisers. So if you add it up in -- I'm sorry. In Exhibit C if you added up the revenue that the Golf Channel top 30 accounts represented. So I added up all the green bars in Chart C and those were the same accounts that Tennis Channel competes with, meaning the accounts the Tennis Channel currently has billing with.

I divided that by the total dollars that the top 30 accounts represented so that this chart that you pointed to in 188

says that Tennis Channel did business with accounts on Golf Channel that represented percent of the revenue they received from their top 30 accounts. Tennis Channel made presentations to accounts that represented percent of the revenue that Golf Channel received from those top 30 accounts.

Q My question is going to be fairly general.

A I'm sorry.

Q My question is what common denominators do those two groups -- what do those two groups have in common? In other words, what are the characteristics of those particular advertisers that they share?

A Well, for this chart, the characteristic that they shared was that they both -- The green accounts spent money advertising on Golf Channel and they also spent money advertising on Tennis Channel.

Q I'm actually asking you more broadly. The particular companies that do

1 that advertising.

A Oh.

Q What characteristics do those particular companies share in your experience in terms of what kinds of audience they're looking for.

A Oh, I see. I'm sorry. To the best of my recollection, the overwhelming majority of those companies we participated in the sports portion of their budget. They had a budget allocated to buy sports channels and we competed for those sports dollars.

were looking to buy sports. These tend to be more affluent accounts. So, in the case of , the buy was for their upper end . In the case of , it was for the upper end car. So my sense is that they wanted to reach adults that were more affluent that would be more likely to purchase their products.

Q Is there anything else they would

Page 674 1 have in common or is that the basic common 2 denominator? 3 Α My recollection is in terms of 4 demographic they were all adult demographics. 5 I don't believe 6 , all 7 bought off of adults 25 to 54. So they didn't have a break as far as men or women. It was 9 bought off of adults. 10 Okay. And, then for 189, would 11 your description be the same? JUDGE SIPPEL: Tennis Channel 12 13 #189. 14 MR. OSHINSKY: Yes. I'm sorry. 15 Tennis Channel #189. It's just the other 16 chart. The Versus versus Tennis, yes. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's 18 essentially the same. Some of the accounts on 19 189 they represented a large amount of 20 advertising on Versus. 21 I believe is a direct response 22 account. I don't believe that they specify

demographics and define just a lot of bulk and volume.

But I believe essentially it's the same.

, it's a credit

card. And my recollection is that that was

also adults 25 to 54 and they were targeting

affluent consumers that were spending a lot of money on credit cards.

BY MR. MOSS:

Q So it's age and affluence in effect is the major common denominator in those groups.

A Yes. And I think it's -- And additionally not all the agencies use third party consumer spending research. In Tennis Channel's case we do. So in the case of and in the case of we would be able to go to these accounts and get third party research that would say how likely or unlikely are our viewers to make this purchase. That is consumer behavior. And my assumption is that the agencies want to reach

people that are more likely to purchase that kind of product.

And looking at the list my guess is that the same research applied to Golf Channel's case and Versus. When they looked, they said that Golf Channel viewers are probably more likely to buy than -- That they're more likely than the average viewer of the channel just as Tennis Channel did. So I think it's the consumer behavior is also a common denominator.

Q And, for the percentage of the charts on the comparison with Versus, you have the segment which is light yellow percentage and on Golf Channel you have a segment of percent. What is your description of that group again? I wasn't clear about that.

A The light yellow are accounts that Tennis Channel did not approach for advertising and did not receive any advertising revenue from.

Q Now can you explain why they didn't approach that segment?

I'm not sure of because when this report was pulled it was done by some of these corporate names that we weren't familiar with. My guess is that some of those accounts were what we refer to as endemic accounts that applied to specific sports on Versus similar to in Golf Channel's case the golf club/golf ball manufacturers. So that's my guess as to why someone from Tennis Channel would not have called on that account.

Q Okay. I want to go to your description of the freeview that the Tennis
Channel puts on, you testified they put on.
Can you tell us? Are there costs associated with the freeview like there would be with other programming that Tennis Channel puts on?

A I can't speak if there were programming costs.

Q Yes, their production costs.

A I can't speak to whether there are production -- I know there are -- I can't speak to whether -- I'm sorry -- there are production costs for the freeview period.

Q Well, do you know whether it's free for the Tennis Channel to put that kind of programming on? Is it free for them or are there costs associated with it? If you don't know, you don't know.

costs for us. I think the free part is that there is no cost to the MSOs, the terrestrials and the satellites. So where they would normally pay a monthly fee for subscriber to get the French Open, they don't have to pay anything to allow their consumers to watch Tennis Channel during that two week period.

So they run promo spots. DirecTV for instance would run promo spots a couple days before the French Open saying if you want to watch the French Open turn to Channel 217 and you can watch Tennis Channel even if you

Page 680 of those questions just to clarify, do you 1 2 have any understanding as to whether Tennis Channel pays rights fees for the content it 3 4 airs during the freeviews? 5 My understanding is that you pay -Α - Do you know what? As I'm thinking about it, 6 7 it's really out of my daily work. 8 really know what the production and fees and 9 fee arrangements are. So I'd rather not 10 speculate. 11 MS. POGORILER: Okay. 12 You have no JUDGE SIPPEL: knowledge or you just? 13 14 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. 15 BY MS. POGORILER: 16 Mr. Herman, you were asked about Tennis Channel's competition for ad business 17 18 with lifestyle and news networks. Do you 19 recall that? 20 Α Yes. 21 Are those networks a major source

of advertising business for Tennis Channel?

22

A They're not a primary source of ad competition.

source of ad competition for Tennis Channel?

Q And what networks are your major competitors?

A The sports networks are our major competitors, the single sports networks that I mentioned earlier and the multi-sports networks, ESPN, the family of ESPN channels.

Q Now Mr. Moss showed you a slide with a woman on it that was excerpted from a PowerPoint. Do you recall that slide?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q It's #664. Is that slide something you use in pitching advertisers?

A I haven't used it before.

Q What do you tell advertisers about Tennis Channel's gender profile?

A I tell advertisers that we have an affluent, gender-balanced audience but that we

tend to skew more towards men. And we think 1 2 it's a benefit for the upscale advertisers that we speak to that they can get a commercial in front of women that also are 4 5 decision makers. We tell them that our audience is about 6 percent male and 7 percent female. And we think it's a selling 8 point.

Q Now Mr. Moss showed you a chart showing ad spending across a number of networks. Do you recall that chart? It's Comcast Exhibit 801.

A Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Are the networks -- I'll just list a few from the beginning, NBC, ABC, CBS, CNN.

Are those networks your Tennis Channel's primary competitors?

A I wish they were, but they're not.

Q And how do you know that they aren't?

A Because we don't participate in the broadcast up front market. We don't

participate in the broadcast scatter market.

It's a separate marketplace from cable.

Q Now I want to return to the charts attached to your declaration at Tab B. I think you mentioned that you excluded companies that make, for instance, only golf specific products. Do you recall that?

A Yes.

Q Why did you exclude those companies from your analysis?

The purpose of the analysis was to try to make a fair representation. If you were on the buying side, what channels you would consider buying for your product. And we did not -- I did not feel that the people that owned the golf ball manufacturing companies would consider Tennis Channel their first option to advertise.

So I wanted to be able to say just simply that if you look at the base of advertisers -- their decision of where they want to spend their money -- that they spend

their money in sports. They spend their money on Golf. They spend their money on ESPN. And they spend money on Tennis Channel.

And to the extent that we have a handicap in 25 million homes we're the least distributed channel of all the sports channels that it was pretty amazing I thought that there was that many accounts that we were still able to do business with that bought other sports channels that have larger distribution.

Q Now did you or any of our ad sales staff go out on pitches or presentations specifically in order to make the target numbers here look bigger than they otherwise would be?

A No.

Q I'm just referring briefly to the Venn diagram that Mr. Moss drew on this chart behind me. Without naming specific names if you're able to do you have any understanding as to whether Tennis Channel gets business

from any of the Golf Channel top 50 or top 30 -- Is it top 30?

A Yes.

Q Top 30 advertisers that are among
Tennis Channel's clients but not among its top
30 clients?

A Yes. And I believe that that is what I was trying to show in the final document, in the final chart. Let me find it and I'll tell you. I'm sorry.

Detive that the difference between Mr. Moss' chart and my chart is that Mr. Moss compared the top 30, overlap between Tennis Channel's top.30 accounts and Golf Channel's top 30 accounts. And it's a little bit unfair because there's no way that our top 30 accounts can generate as much money on average as Golf Channel's because we're in two-thirds less distribution. And we can't charge that much. We're a much smaller network.

So our 20th biggest amount might

end up being Golf Channel's 120th account. So this chart took our best accounts and said, "Okay. Where else are they spending money and are they against other sports networks?"

Q Mr. Herman, do you believe Tennis
Channel would be better positioned to compete
for the top Golf Channel accounts that aren't
in the center of this diagram if its
distribution were higher?

A Yes. I mean -- Yes.

MS. POGORILER: That's all I have, Your Honor.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Does that raise anything from your side?

MR. MOSS: Nothing from our side.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Bureau? Nothing?

MR. OSHINSKY: Nothing.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I just have one question. You were very specific about your target group, core group, and the ages 35 to 64. Right? Then you talk about an affluent group. Do you have a -- Is there a measure

for what say the range of the household income is you're looking for?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I mean there's a third party research company called Mendelsohn that measures media habits and viewing in \$100k plus homes. That's their sample size.

And we use that data. And they rank the household income of 83 cable channels based on the percent of people that watch that channel that live in \$100k plus homes and then they compare it to what the U.S. average is.

And Tennis Channel in terms of household income is within the top, is ranked in cable within the top five channels, meaning we're much more likely to have viewers that live in affluent homes than the average person.

That's why we conclude and that's how we use the data that we're an affluent channel.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. But it's

\$100,000 plus. So anybody, any household, that was earning \$100,000 and then over and

Page 689 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's what I was 2 just going to suggest. 3 Who is the next witness by the 4 way? 5 MR. SCHMIDT: It's Mr. Brooks, one 6 of our experts. 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Brooks is an 8 expert. Okay. All right. And how long 9 should his testimony take? 10 MR. SCHMIDT: I don't know how 11 long the cross will take. The direct will 12 take probably 30, 45 minutes, somewhere in 13 that neighborhood. 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Do you have 15 any idea? I know you can't say for sure. 16 MR. TOSCANO: A couple hours. 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: A couple hours. 18 MR. TOSCANO: An hour or so. 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: So most of the 20 afternoon. It has to be. 21 MR. SCHMIDT: I propose we break 22 for lunch.

Page 690 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to do 2 that. I'm trying to just think -- It's 11:50 a.m. Let's try and get back by 1:10 p.m. Ιs 4 that doable? 5 MR. TOSCANO: Yes. 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. 1:10 p.m. I'm usually the one that violates. Okay. 7 We're in recess until 1:10 p.m. and then we're 8 9 going to see Mr. Brooks on or near the stand. 10 Off the record. 11 (Whereupon, at 11:50 a.m., the 12 above-entitled matter recessed to reconvene at 13 1:10 p.m. the same day.) 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you ready to 15 proceed? 16 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, may we call our 17 next witness, Your Honor? 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: You certainly may. 19 MR. SCHMIDT: May we call Mr. Tim 20 Brooks. 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Brooks. 22 MR. CARROLL: Your Honor, I've

	Page 691
1	been relegated farther and farther down the
2	end. They're going to have me out the door
3	soon. My colleague, Mr. Toscano, will be
4	handling Mr. Brooks
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Good afternoon, Mr.
6	Toscano.
7	MR. TOSCANO: Good afternoon, Your
8	Honor.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll have to
10	advance to the oath part.
11	WHEREUPON,
12	TIMOTHY BROOKS
13	was called as a witness and, after having been
14	first duly sworn, was examined and testified
15	as follows:
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
17	MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you, Your
18	Honor.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Schmidt.
20	MR. SCHMIDT: Yes.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Please proceed.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION